

## ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Minutes of 20th Meeting,  
DCI Committee on CompartmentationOS REGISTRY  
FILE *See below*

FROM:

PPG/OS

*Swill*

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

27 July 1981

25X1

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S  
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1. C/PPG/OS

2. DD/P&amp;M

3. DD/OS

4. D/OS

5. C/PPG/OS

PPG/OS

7.

8.

9.

10.

11.

12.

13.

14.

15.

1-5, FYI - The minutes of the 20th meeting were handed out at the 21st and last meeting held at 1300 hours, 24 July, DCA Conference Room, the Pentagon. A recommendation to a final report was agreed upon. The final report will be issued by cob 27 July. The final report summarizes the COCOMP effort to salvage the "Good Things" of APEX issues. It gives a status report on each of these issues. It recommends that the momentum achieved for dialogue and coordination among various SCI control systems be maintained through the DCI Security Committee. It urges the DDCI to retain a direct channel to him and the DCI to assure effective response, the key ingredient to resolving many of the COCOMP issues. The final report will be furnished as soon as received.

051 1614

SECRET

21 July 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR: SA to the DCI for Compartmentation

FROM: [REDACTED]

Compartmentation Control Staff

SUBJECT: DCI Committee on Compartmentation Meeting, 21 July 1981

1. The 20th meeting of the DCI Committee on Compartmentation was held on 21 July 1981. The following were in attendance:

COCOMP	[REDACTED]	Chairman	25X1
OSD	Maynard Anderson		
NSA	[REDACTED]		
Army	Merrill T. Kelly		
State	Donald W. Stigers		
CIA/Security	[REDACTED]		25X1
SECOM			
SAFSS	Major Robert S. Andrews, USAF		
USAF	Roger D. Smith		
DIA	[REDACTED]		25X1
Navy	Richard L. Welch		
CIA	[REDACTED]		25X1
FBI	James L. Butts		
COMIREX	[REDACTED]		25X1
SIGINT			
Army	Major William H. Poage, USA		
Staff	[REDACTED]		25X1
Staff			

2. Three papers were distributed: (a) draft of the final report, (b) extracts from 4C documentation regarding the Facility Data Base, (c) draft suggested response on Item 18, from Mr. Kelly and one from Mr. [REDACTED]

3. At the Chairman's request, Mr. Anderson provided the committee with an updated status report on the rewrite of E.O. 12065. The new draft is out, and there are minor changes dealing with portion marking. OSD has also recommended some changes on that section dealing with safeguarding information in order to provide greater flexibility. Mr. Butts remarked that the FBI had recommended some minor changes also.

SECRET

OS 1 1614 25X1

SECRET

The remaining problems with the document as a whole appear to be very minor, and the final product is expected to be a shorter version of what presently exists. The next meeting on the E.O. is scheduled for 3 August.

25X1 [ ]

4. The Chairman referenced the above-listed handouts stating that items h. and n. in the 4C extract covered the questions that were asked at the last meeting. Regarding the Kelly and [ ] proposals, he stated an effort has been made to include their content in the final report and asked the members at this time to read in detail the draft final report so that discussion could take place regarding any changes. [ ]

25X1

25X1

25X1

5. After reading the report, [ ] opened the discussion by addressing his remarks to the recommendations in paragraph 11. He said that the Chairman of the SIGINT Committee has taken the position that the SIGINT Committee could not agree with the present wording of the recommendation that would place anyone between the committee chairman and his boss. Also with the executive orders and DCIDs being rewritten, it seems only prudent to wait and see what they impart to the Community. Mr. Anderson injected that he didn't believe the paragraph presented any problem in that it was only a "recommendation." Mr. Kelly expressed the Army's view that they were unwilling to accept another additional requirement. He stated that if the real issue here is one of "turf" then he opted to discuss turf and forget security. He further pointed out that if another layer is added to be the oversight of compartmentation, then the same applies to personnel security, product security, etc. Compartmentation in his view is simply another security practice. Mr. Anderson said that each committee interprets its own security and that paragraph 3 of the report adequately reflects the present problem. He agreed with Mr. Kelly that the COCOMP should tell the DCI/DDCI to either solve the problem or leave it alone. Mr. Kelly said that it was his understanding that Admiral Inman had stated that committees will not direct. In reply, [ ] said his understanding was correct. Mr. Anderson added that if committees do not direct, they also do not report on their own initiative but only in response to that which they have been tasked to do. [ ]

25X1

25X1

25X1

6. The discussion continued for some time with various theories and tutorials being presented. [ ] believed the issue is a management problem. Mr. Kelly stated the Army had never agreed to the commonality of security regarding operational collection. [ ] said security is very broad and compartmentation is narrow but believes commonality is there to a degree. We can agree to the two extremes which leaves the middle as a gray area. Mr. Kelly believes that compartmentation is another form of security practice, is a segment of the

SECRET

SECRET

overall program, and is really not all that complicated. [ ] stated he liked the recommendation in that SECOM has a wide representation and that various issues can adequately be channeled and addressed. The Chairman stated that [ ] understanding regarding SECOM has always been the case, but unfortunately it has not been very successful. Mr. Anderson again stated he thought the recommendation was proper and correct. [ ] reiterated that the role of the committees has been to recommend policy as depicted in the DCIDs. To place another level between the DCI and the Committees is not proper. To place this layer to the side as a check would be O.K. Major Poage said it could be looked at as a staff coordination function and should not be looked at as a layering effect. [ ] said COMIREX has always had a security representative and he presumed the SECOM and COMIREX members from the same organization discussed mutual problems. Mr. Kelly noted that committees do have security advisors except in the collection area. [ ] provided the final touch by stating that no paper from either the COMIREX or SIGINT Committees had been provided to SECOM for coordination. LTC Tucker countered that there was nothing to keep the DCI from giving such issues or papers to the SECOM; there were various means at this point where all found fault in this logic. [ ] said he felt the COCOMP per se would be at fault if we did not recommend to the DDCI what we really think. Mr. Anderson said that the recommendation could be general and not specific, but if the Army felt a specific point should be made that this could be accomplished via a footnote. Mr. Kelly said he felt the SECOM should work on this common security problem. He said the taxpayer is paying twice for security now--both COCOMP and SECOM work the same problems. He believes it is a SECOM function and that they should be so tasked. Mr. Smith said that the day's discussion points to the reality that we need the continuity of the COCOMP which brings together a body where all Community members sit together and can discuss security and like problems. [ ]

7. The Chairman asked the members to turn their attention to changing the verbage in paragraph 11 which would be amenable to their thinking. [ ] suggested deleting "assure" in the third sentence and replace it with "advise and assist the DCI concerning." The members agreed. The fourth sentence on how to accomplish the task was written by [ ] during a short break. It was agreed to replace the fourth sentence with, "The Committee has discussed several options by which the decision-making momentum can be maintained, such as appointing an individual as an 'advisor' to the DCI or by designating a body, such as the DCI Security Committee, to perform this function." [ ]

SECRET

SECRET

8. There followed several minor editorial changes and the Chairman agreed to "wash-fax" a new paragraph 11 to the members that afternoon. The next meeting was set for 1300 hours on Friday 24 July 1981. (The place was subsequently established as Room 2A520, the Pentagon.) The Chairman wishes the members to go over the entire final report once more prior to forwarding it to the DDCI. If all business gets completed on Friday, that will constitute the last meeting of the COCOMP. The meeting adjourned at 1150 hours.

25X1

 Colonel, USAF

## Distribution:

Orig - SA/DCI/C File  
 1 - SA/DCI/C Chrono  
 1 - William P. Deary, INR/State  
 1 - Donald W. Stigers, INR/State  
 1 - Richard L. Welch, Navy  
 1 - Maynard Anderson, OSD  
 1 -   
 1 - Merrill T. Kelly, ACSI  
 1 - Col. Robert A. Shiver, USAF  
 1 -  NSA  
 1 - James L. Butts, FBI  
 1 -   
 1 - Executive Registry

GINT Committee  
 MIREX  
 Security

SECRET